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**Part 2:**

**Topic:** The starting age for children to attend school should become universal across the country.  
**General Purpose:** To persuade.  
**Specific Purpose:** To persuade my audience that the starting age for children to attend school should become universal across the country.

1. **Introduction:**
2. **Attention Getter:** A student of 10 years is competing with a student of 15 years. Is not it an unfair competition?
3. **Reason to Listen:** The age of admitting a student in a school needs to be make universal.
4. **Thesis Statement:** To stop unfair competition in any sector, we need to define a selective age for the start of schooling across the country in a systematic way.
5. **Credibility Statement:**
6. For any scholarship exam at the school level, the age of the students should be equal during the competition.
7. Uneven age in any competition would provide extra facility to the senior ones.
8. Yet after coming to this level and analyzing the current actual situation, I realized, there should be some rules for the starting age of for children to attend school.
9. **Preview of Main Points:**
10. First, I will describe why age limitation is important in any competition.
11. Then I will describe why the starting age for children to attend school needs to be universal in the whole country.
12. Finally, I will describe how we can approach to implement this law.
13. **Body:**
14. Age is not just a number. It brings a certain maturity to an individual as time passes by. So, the understanding level of a 10-year-old guy and a 13-year-old guy can never be the same in any condition.
15. Every year different Public and Government Scholarship programmes take places in our country. Besides, there are lots of other competition take places where we sort students by their reading classes. But, it becomes an unfair competition if students of different age read in the same section.
16. Finally, how can we approach for such a big change?
17. Firstly, we need to select a certain year for the start of school by a specialist panel
18. Then we need to create some scopes for the students who might miss the selected starting age of schooling so that they also get the opportunity to become educated at any cost. Like, there should be some school where students of same ages can be admitted in the same class.

**Transition:**

It is true that it is a very tough law to implement and maintain across the whole country. But, it’s also true that the situation becomes changed in present times. To ensure fair competition among the students, we need to implement the idea.

1. **Conclusion:**
2. Review of Main Points:
3. There should be a selective age in any competition.
4. Applying a defined starting age for children to attend school will help to create a fair competition floor for everybody in every sector.
5. We should follow a good structure to implement the new rules to make sure that nobody faces an unfair condition.
6. Restate Thesis:

There should be a defined age for the start of school for any students and the idea needs to applies in a systematic way.

1. Closure:

I have tried to show why the starting age for children to attend school should become universal across the country. After knowing these points, I hope you can realize that how a specified age for starting school can create a fair floor for everybody. Let me take the opportunity to close here. Thank you for your time and co-operation during the whole speech.

**Part 1**

The speech is about how little people can make a big difference where a little kid gives a speech on that topic.

**Structure:** The boy started with a nice attention-getter (00.19 – 00. 24). But then without introducing the topic, he started to introduce himself, taking quite a significant time where he missed providing a relevance link to the listener. He also missed the goals and credibility statement as well. And finally, without any thesis statement, he started the body of the speech. The body begins with a lovely story of himself. And it was attractive and interesting as well. At the concluding point, he did not preview the main points, but he used transitions. He talked about the solution of the problem across his country. Finally, without any clincher, he finished the speech suddenly with a single Thank giving note.

**Content:** He provided a real-life example, but he did not show any logic or supporting details for his topic. I think he has an acceptable command over the language by which he gave the speech. The speech was clear and understable too.

**Verbal + Non verbal:** From my point of view, his non-verval communication was missed totally. The tone of him during his whole speech was the same all through the time. His intonation was also missed also.

Body language of the speaker was also not up to the mark. Mostly he looked downwards and read the speech like reading a book without any eye-contact with the audiences. His gesture, posture, and facial expressions were also missed. He was a standstill.

**Effectiveness of the speech:** He missed the relevance. In the whole speech, there was not a single humour. But, he used some presentational slides and the real object of the bench, which was good. And there common ground for a lot of individuals as well.

**Critique:** He missed a lot of points of a good speech. They were topic introduction, relevance link, thesis statement, previewing of main points, verbal and non-verval communication etc. The finishing part should be more relevant, as well. But, considering his age and the situation (giving a speech in front of a good crowd), I think he was bold enough.